

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: Commissioner FOR PATENTS
P.J. Box 1450
Abxandria, Virginia 22313-1450
Avw.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/710,868	08/09/2004	Srikanth Sampath	SYB/0097.02	4867		
31779	7590 08/24/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
JOHN A. SMART 708 BLOSSOM HILL RD., #201			MORRISON, JAY A			
	, CA 95032-3503		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		2168			
			DATE MAILED: 08/24/2006	DATE MAILED: 08/24/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	No. Applicant(s)						
		10/710,868	3	SAMPATH ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
			Jay A. Morr	ison	2168	<u> </u>			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicated Reply	tion app	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 3's SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DA 7 CFR 1.13 ation. ry period w by statute,	ATE OF THI 36(a). In no ever will apply and will cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION it, however, may a reply be tin expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 09 Ar	ugust 2004						
·	·								
′=	,—								
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims		·						
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-59</u> is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-59 are subject to restriction a	and/or e	election requ	irement.					
Applicati	on Papers								
9) 🗆 .	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminei	r.						
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)			objected to by the I	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a)[a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment				4)	(DTO 442)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	948)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC No(s)/Mail Date	•		5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:)-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/710,868 Page 2

Art Unit: 2168

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-40 are drawn to subject matter for using an optimal number of threads in a database system, classified in class 707, subclass 2.

II. Claims 41-59 are drawn to subject matter for auto-tuning the cache in a database, classified in class 707, subclass 8.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. The subcombinations have separate utility such as threading to optimize database concurrency and caching to optimize memory use. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for any one group is not required for any other group, respectively, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Application/Control Number: 10/710,868

Art Unit: 2168

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record, listed on form PTO-892, and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jay A. Morrison whose telephone number is (571) 272-7112. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tim Vo can be reached on (571) 272-3642. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/710,868

Art Unit: 2168

"Oorthor Namber: 10/1 10,00

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TIM VO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Jay Morrison TC2100 Tim Vo TC2100